REMARKS

This application has been carefully reviewed in light of the Office Action dated April 2, 2009. Claims 7 to 18 are pending in the application, of which Claims 7 and 13 are independent. Reconsideration and further examination are respectfully requested.

Claims 12 and 18 were objected to for an informality. Applicants submit that the foregoing amendments to the claims have corrected the informality. Accordingly, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of this objection.

Claims 7 to 18 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over U.S. Published Appln. No. 2002/0131069 (Wanda) in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,468,802 (Johnson). Reconsideration and withdrawal of this rejection are respectfully requested.

The present invention concerns print spooling where the print data to be printed is diverted from an originally intended printer to an alternate destination printer before the spooling of print data has been completed. In one aspect of the invention, the destination of print output is changed from an original destination printer to an alternate destination printer before spooling has completed and before output of the spooled print data to the original destination printer. The output of the spooled print data to the original destination printer is canceled without canceling the spooling of the print data. That is, spooling continues while output of print data to a first destination printer is canceled and then output of the print data is redirected to an alternate printer. Spooling is concurrently performed of a portion of the print data which has not yet been spooled such that the spooling is performed after a portion of the print data already spooled without restarting from the beginning, and performing the outputting of a portion of the print data spooled before the changing in said changing step to the alternation destination printer.

Turning to specific claim language, amended independent Claim 7 is directed to a storage medium having a print control program to be executed by a computer stored therein in a computer-readable form. The program includes a spooling step of spooling print data created and spooled via a printer driver; an outputting step of outputting the spooled print data to an original destination printer; a changing step of changing a printing destination from the original destination printer to an alternation destination printer before said spooling step has completed the spooling of the print data and before said outputting step has completed the outputting of the spooled print data to the original destination printer; and a control step of canceling the outputting of the spooled print data to the original destination printer without canceling the spooling of the print data, concurrently performing the spooling of a portion of the print data which has not yet been spooled such that the spooling is performed after a portion of the print data already spooled without restarting from the beginning, and performing the outputting of a portion of the print data spooled before the changing in said changing step to the alternation destination printer.

Applicants respectfully submit that the cited references, namely Wanda and Johnson, considered either alone or in combination, fail to disclose or suggest all of the features of the computer-executable print control program of Claim 7. In particular, the cited references, either alone or in combination, fail to disclose or suggest at least the features of (a) changing a printing destination from an original destination printer to an alternation destination printer before spooling of the print data has completed and before output has been completed of the spooled print data to the original destination printer, and (b) canceling the outputting of the spooled print data to the original destination printer without canceling the spooling of the print data, concurrently performing the spooling of a portion of the print data which has not yet been

spooled such that the spooling is performed after a portion of the print data already spooled without restarting from the beginning, and performing the outputting of a portion of the print data spooled before the changing in said changing step to the alternation destination printer.

In contrast to the present invention, Wanda discloses a proxy printing system that spools print data and outputs the spooled print data to a first printer. If an error occurs while outputting the print data to the first printer, the output of print data is stopped, redirected to a second printer, and then restarted from the beginning of the print data. That is, a system in accordance with Wanda completes the spooling of the print data and then starts outputting print data to the first printer. This also means that such a system cannot possibly switch output of print data between two printers before spooling is completed. Therefore, Wanda fails to disclose both (a) changing a printing destination before spooling has completed and before output of the spooled print data has completed to the original destination printer and (b) canceling the output of the spooled print data to the original destination printer without canceling the spooling of the print data, as featured in Claim 7.

Furthermore, Johnson discloses a general print system that outputs print data to a printer while spooling the print data. That is, in Johnson, output of print data to a printer may be performed while simultaneously finishing spooling of the print data. However, there is no discussion in Johnson of canceling the output of print data and redirecting the output to another printer. Therefore, Johnson also fails to disclose (a) changing a printing destination before spooling has completed and before output of the spooled print data has completed to the original destination printer and (b) canceling the output of the spooled print data to the original destination printer without canceling the spooling of the print data, as featured in Claim 7.

Applicants further submit that even if Wanda and Johnson were combined as suggested in the Office Action, a combination that Applicants do not concede is permissible, such a combination would merely disclose a system that could start output of print data to a first printer before spooling is completed (as in Johnson), but any switching of the output of print data between a first printer and a second printer could only occur after spooling is completed (as in Wanda). Therefore, such a combination would still fail to disclose or suggest (a) changing a printing destination before spooling has completed and before output of the spooled print data has completed to the original destination printer and (b) canceling the output of the spooled print data to the original destination printer without canceling the spooling of the print data.

In light of the deficiencies of Wanda and Johnson as discussed above, Applicants submit that amended independent Claim 7 is now in condition for allowance and respectfully request same.

Amended independent Claim 13 is directed to an apparatus substantially in accordance with the computer-executable print control program of Claim 7. Accordingly, Applicants submit that Claim 13 is also now in condition for allowance and respectfully request same.

The other pending claims in this application are each dependent from the independent claims discussed above and are therefore believed allowable for at least the same reasons. Because each dependent claim is also deemed to define an additional aspect of the invention, however, the individual consideration of each on its own merits is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing amendments and remarks, the entire application is believed to be in condition for allowance, and such action is respectfully requested at the Examiner's earliest convenience.

CONCLUSION

No claim fees are believed due; however, should it be determined that additional claim fees are required, the Director is hereby authorized to charge such fees to Deposit Account 06-1205.

Applicants' undersigned attorney may be reached in our Costa Mesa, CA office at (714) 540-8700. All correspondence should continue to be directed to our below-listed address.

Respectfully submitted,

/Frank Cire #42,419/
Frank L. Cire
Attorney for Applicants

FITZPATRICK, CELLA, HARPER & SCINTO
30 Rockefeller Plaza
New York, New York 10112-3800
Facsimile: (212) 218-2200

FCHS_WS 3594313v1